Page 1 of 4

ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Smt. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member (J)

& The Hon'ble P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No <u>OA - 35 of 2018</u>

	•	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1		of parties when necessary 3
	For the Applicant: Mr. G. Halder,	
2	Advocate.	
26.09.2018		
	For the Respondents: Mr. G.P. Banerjee,	
	Advocate.	
	The instant application has been filed praying for	
	following relief:	
	"a) An order directing the concerned	
	respondents authorities forthwith to give	
	appointment to the applicant on compassionate	
	ground in accordance with law after	
	quashing/set aside/cancel/revoke the Memo	
	No. 2451(23)/2E-394/2016 dated Kolkata the	
	26 th May, 2016.	
	b) An order directing the respondents, their	
	agents, subordinates and success to consider	
	the representation of the applicant in died-in-	
	harness category in respect of his deceased	
	father namely Rabindranath Sarkar, forthwith;	
	c) An order directing the respondents, their	
	agents, subordinates and successors to produce	
	all records and proceedings so that	
	conscionable justice may be administered by	
	granting the relief for hereinabove;	
	d) To pass such other or further orders or	

ORDER SHEET

Sudip Sarkar

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order. 1	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	orders as to the Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit	
	and proper;"	
	As per the applicant, the father of the applicant died	
	on 06.06.2012 thereafter he made an application on plain	
	paper on 10.10.2012 which was received by the Block	
	Livestock Development Officer on the same date on	
	10.10.2012 (Annexure E). Subsequently, the Block	
	Livestock Development Officer vide his letter dated	
	18.06.2014 forwarded the documents along with copy of the	
	application of the applicant to the Deputy Director, ARD	
	and P.O., Murshidabad for his consideration (Annexure C).	
	Subsequently, his case was forwarded to the Director of	
	Animal Husbandy and Veterinary Services, West Bengal	
	along with three men enquiry committee report as well as	
	relevant document for necessary action and acceptance vide	
	letter dated 16.10.2014 (Annexure D). However,	
	unfortunately his case was rejected by the impugned order	
	dated 26.05.2016 (Annexure G) on the ground of late	
	submission. The counsel for the applicant has vehemently	
	submitted and also drawn our attention to the letters dated	
	10.10.2012 and 18.03.2014 as well as the rejection order	
	dated 26.05.2016 which was self-contradictory. As per the	
	rejection order, the applicant submitted his application on	
	16.10.2014 whereas the respondent themselves forwarded	
	his application for consideration to the Deputy Director on	
	18.03.2014. Subsequently, on 16.10.2014 with the report	

Case No. OA - 35 of 2018

Form No.

ORDER SHEET

Sudip Sarkar

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	of enquiry committee therefore the ground of rejection that	
	he had submitted his application on 16.10.2014 is	
	apparently error on the face of record and thus liable to be	
	quashed. During the course of the hearing, the counsel for	
	the applicant has further submitted that this Court may	
	direct the respondent to reconsider his case on the basis of	
	his submission as well as the application. The counsel for	
	the respondent has no objection for such reconsideration.	
	Heard the parties and perused the records.	
	It is noted that the respondents had rejected the case	
	of the applicant vide communication order dated 26.05.2016	
	on the ground that the applicant had submitted his	
	application belatedly, whereas from the perusal of the	
	communication order dated 18.03.2014 as well as	
	16.10.2014 it is evident that on 18.03.2014 the Block	
	Livestock Development Officer had already forwarded the	
	application of the applicant along with documents to the	
	Deputy Director, ARD & P.O., Murshidabad and	
	communication dated 16.10.2014 the said Block Livestock	
	Development Officer with three men enquiry report to the	
	Director of Animal Husbandy and Veterinary Services for	
	further consideration and acceptance. Therefore, the	
	ground of rejection of the respondents is self-contradictory.	
	Accordingly, we quashed and set aside the impugned order	

Case No. OA - 35 of 2018

Form No.

ORDER SHEET

Sudip Sarkar

.... Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA - 35 of 2018</u>				
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3		
	<i>dated</i> 26.05.2016 <i>with regard to the applicant only and also</i>			
	direct the respondent No. 1 to reconsider the case of the			
	applicant on the basis of the available records as indicated in			
	their own communication as per law and to take decision			
	and communicate the same within a period of eight weeks			
	by way of reasoned and speaking order from the date of the			
	communication of the order. Accordingly, the O.A. is			
	disposed of.			
	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)			
A.K.P.				
		l		

Form No.

Page 4 of 4